

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge James A. Goeke
Yakima

USA v. GEORGE DANIEL LEE

Case No. 1:23-CR-2017-SAB

Arraignment/Initial Appearance on Indictment:

04/19/2023

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frances Walker, US Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Craig Webster, Defense Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM <input type="checkbox"/> out of custody | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |

-
- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> USA Motion for Detention | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USA not seeking detention | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Court will appoint the Federal Defenders | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney | <input type="checkbox"/> Charging document read in open court |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PRE-Trial Services Report ordered | <input type="checkbox"/> POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AO Advice of Penalties/Sanctions filed | |

REMARKS

The Defendant appeared and acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: GEORGE DANIEL LEE.

Defendant was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

“Not guilty” plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

The Court ordered:

1. Conditions of release imposed upon Defendant as listed in the Pretrial Services Report, which were read to him in Court. Order forthcoming.
2. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.

Detention Hearing:
NA; USA not seeking detention.